

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
6 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
7

8 RONE KRAFT,

Case No. 1:21-cv-00768-NONE-SKO

9 Plaintiff,

**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S  
REQUEST FOR ELETRCONIC  
NOTICING AND MOTION FOR  
PERMISSION FOR ELECTRONIC  
CASE FILING**

10 v.  
11

12 TULARE COUNTY, ET AL.,

(Docs. 2 & 3.)

13 Defendants.  
14  
15 \_\_\_\_\_/

16  
17 Plaintiff Rune Kraft, appearing *pro se*, filed this action on May 13, 2021 (Doc. 1), along  
18 with a "Request for Non-Prisoner Pro Se Party for Electronic Noticing" (Doc. 2) and a "Motion to  
19 Allow Electronic Filing By a Party Appearing Without an Attorney" (Doc. 3).

20 In his request and motion, Plaintiff seeks the Court's permission to receive notice and to file  
21 documents electronically through the Court's case management/electronic case filing ("CM/ECF")  
22 system. Pursuant to the Local Rules of the United States District Court, Eastern District of  
23 California ("Local Rules"), a pro se party shall file and serve paper documents as required by the  
24 Rule. Local Rules 133(a), 135(b). A party appearing pro se may request an exception to the paper  
25 filing requirement from the Court by filing a stipulation of the parties or by motion. Local Rule  
26 133(b)(2), (3).

27 Upon review of the pleadings in this action and the instant motion, in which Plaintiff  
28 provides no reasons for the need to use electronic filing, the Court finds that this action does not

1 warrant an exception to the Local Rules. Plaintiff's request for permission to receive notice and  
2 motion to file through CM/ECF is, therefore, DENIED.

3  
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: May 17, 2021

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE